12-85-508

62D Congress, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES. REPORT No. 946.

ROBERT W. ARCHBALD, JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES COMMERCE COURT.

July 8, 1912.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

W.5.

Mr. Clayton, from the Committee on the Judiciary, submitted the following

REPORT.

[To accompany H. Res. 524.]

The Committee on the Judiciary, having had under consideration House resolution 524, make the following report:

The resolution is in the following words:

Resolved, That the Committee on the Judiciary be, and is hereby, authorized to inquire into and concerning the official conduct of Honorable Robert W. Archbald, formerly district judge of the United States Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, and now a judge of the Commerce Court, touching his conduct in regard to the matters and things mentioned in House Resolution numbered five hundred and eleven, and especially whether said judge has been guilty of an impeachable offense, and to report to the House the conclusions of the committee in respect thereto, with appropriate recommendation;

And resolved further, That the Committee on the Judiciary shall have power to send for persons and papers, and to subpæna witnesses and to administer oaths to such witnesses; and for the purpose of making this investigation said committee is authorized to sit during the sessions of this House; and the Speaker shall have authority to sign and the Clerk to attest subpænas for any witnesses.

ORIGIN OF THIS IMPEACHMENT.

This impeachment proceeding had its origin in the resolution adopted by the House of Representatives on April 25, 1912, which is set out in the following message of the President to the House of Representatives on May 3, 1912:

To the House of Representatives:

I am in receipt of a copy of a resolution adopted by the House on April 25, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That the President of the United States be, and he is hereby, requested, if not incompatible with the public interest, to transmit to the House of Representatives a copy of any charges filed against Robert W. Archbald, associate judge of the United States Commerce Court, together with the report of any special attorney or agent

C/

RESOLUTION.

1	Resolved, That Robert W. Archbald, additional circuit
2	judge of the United States from the third judicial circuit,
3	appointed pursuant to the act of June 18, 1910 (U.S. Stat.
4	L., vol. 36, 540), and having duly qualified and having
5	been duly commissioned and designated on the 31st day of
6	January, 1911, to serve for four years in the Commerce
7	Court, be impeached for misbehavior and for high crimes
8	and misdemeanors; and that the evidence heretofore taken
9	by the Committee on the Judiciary under House resolution
0	524 sustains 13 articles of impeachment which are
1	hereinafter set out; and that said articles be, and they are
2	hereby, adopted by the House of Representatives, and that
3	the same shall be exhibited to the Senate in the following
4	words and figures, to wit:

ARTICLES OF IMPEACHMENT

16 Of the House of Representatives of the United
17 States of America in the name of themselves
18 and of all of the people of the United States of America
19 against Robert W. Archbald, additional circuit judge
20 of the United States from the third judicial circuit,

- 1 appointed pursuant to the act of June 18, 1910 (U.S.
- 2 Stat. L., vol. 36, 540), and having duly qualified and
- 3 having been duly commissioned and designated on the
- 4 31st day of January, 1911, to serve for four years
- 5 in the Commerce Court:
- 6 ARTICLE 1.

7 That the said Robert W. Archbald, at Scranton, in the

8 State of Pennsylvania, being a United States circuit judge,

9 and having been duly designated as one of the judges of

10 the United States Commerce Court, and being then and

11 there a judge of the said court, on March 31, 1911, en-

12 tered into an agreement with one Edward J. Williams

13 whereby the said Robert W. Archbald and the said Edward

14 J. Williams agreed to become partners in the purchase of a

certain culm dump, commonly known as the Katydid culm

16 dump, near Moosic, Pennsylvania, owned by the Hillside

17 Coal & Iron Company, a corporation, and one John M.

18 Robertson, for the purpose of disposing of said property at a

19 profit. That pursuant to said agreement, and in furtherance

20 thereof, the said Robert W. Archbald, on the 31st day of

21 March, 1911, and at divers other times and at different

22 places, did undertake, by correspondence, by personal con-

23 ferences, and otherwise, to induce and influence, and did

24 induce and influence, the officers of the said Hillside Coal &

Iron Company and of the Erie Railroad Company, a cor-1 2 poration, which owned all of the stock of said coal com-3 pany, to enter into an agreement with the said Robert W. Archbald and the said Edward J. Williams to sell the inter-4 5 est of the said Hillside Coal & Iron Company in the Katy-6 did culm dump for a consideration of four thousand and five 7 hundred dollars. That during the period covering the 8 several negotiations and transactions leading up to the afore-9 said agreement the said Robert W. Archbald was a judge 10 of the United States Commerce Court, duly designated and 11 acting as such judge; and at the time aforesaid and during 12 the time the aforesaid negotiations were in progress the said Erie Railroad Company was a common carrier engaged 13 in interstate commerce and was a party litigant in certain 14 suits, to wit, the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company et al. 15 v. The Interstate Commerce Commission, No. 38, and the 16 17 Baltimore & Ohio Railroad Company et al. v. The Interstate Commerce Commission, No. 39, then pending in the United States Commerce Court; and the said Robert W. Archbald, 19 judge as aforesaid, well knowing these facts, willfully, un-20 lawfully, and corruptly took advantage of his official posi-21 tion as such judge to induce and influence the officials of the 22 said Erie Railroad Company and the said Hillside Coal & 23

Iron Company, a subsidiary corporation thereof, to enter

- 1 into a contract with him and the said Edward J. Williams,
- 2 as aforesaid, for profit to themselves, and that the said Rob-
- 3 ert W. Archbald, then and there, through the influence
- 4 exerted by reason of his position as such judge, willfully,
- 5 unlawfully, and corruptly did induce the officers of said Erie
- 6 Railroad Company and of the said Hillside Coal & Iron
- 7 Company to enter into said contract for the consideration
 - 8 aforesaid.
 - 9 Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is
- 10 guilty of misbehavior as such judge and of a high crime and
- 11 misdemeanor in office.

12 ARTICLE 2.

- 13 That the said Robert W. Archbald, on the 1st day of
- 14 August, 1911, was a United States circuit judge, and, having
- 15 been duly designated as one of the judges of the United
- 16 States Commerce Court, was then and there a judge of said
- 17 court.
- 18 That at the time aforesaid the Marian Coal Company,
- 19 a corporation, was the owner of a certain culm bank at
- 20 Taylor, Pennsylvania, and was then and there engaged in the
- 21 business of washing and shipping coal; that prior to that time
- 22 the said Marian Coal Company had filed before the Inter-
- 23 state Commerce Commission a complaint against the Dela-
- 24 ware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad Company and five

1 other railroad companies as defendants, charging said de-2 fendants with discrimination in rates and with excessive 3 charges for the transportation of coal shipped by the said 4 Marian Coal Company over their respective lines of road; 5 that all of the said defendant companies were common car-6 riers engaged in interstate commerce. That the decision of the said case by the Interstate Commerce Commission at the instance of either party thereto was subject to review, under 8 9 the law, by the United States Commerce Court; that one 10 Christopher G. Boland and one William P. Boland were 11 then the principal stockholders of the said Marian Coal 12 Company and controlled the operation of the same, and they, 13 the said Christopher G. Boland and the said William P. 14 Boland, employed one George M. Watson as an attorney to settle the case then pending as aforesaid in the Interstate 15 Commerce Commission and to sell to the Delaware, Lacka-16 wanna & Western Railroad Company two-thirds of the 17 stock of the said Marian Coal Company; and at the time 18 aforesaid there was pending in the United States Commerce 19 Court a certain suit entitled the Baltimore & Ohio Railroad 20 Company et al. v. the Interstate Commerce Commission, No. 21 38, to which suit the said Delaware, Lackawanna & Western 22 Railroad Company was a party litigant. 23 H. Rept. 946, 62-2-5

That the said Robert W. Archbald, being judge as aforesaid and well knowing these facts, did, then and there, 3 engage, for a consideration, to assist the said George M. Watson to settle the aforesaid case then pending before the 5 Interstate Commerce Commission and to sell to the said Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad Company the said two-thirds of the stock of the said Marian Coal Com-8 pany, and in pursuance of said engagement the said Robert W. Archbald, on or about the 10th day of August, 1911, and at divers other times and at different places, did undertake, by correspondence, by personal conferences, and otherwise, to induce and influence the officers of the Delaware, 13 Lackawanna & Western Railroad Company to enter into an agreement with the said George M. Watson for the settle-14 15 ment of the aforesaid case and the sale of said stock of the 16 Marian Coal Company; and the said Robert W. Archbald 17 thereby willfully, unlawfully, and corruptly did use his influence as such judge in the attempt to settle said case and to 18 19 sell said stock of the said Marian Coal Company to the Dela-20 ware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad Company.

Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is guilty of misbehavior as such judge and of a high crime and misdemeanor in office.

1 · ARTICLE 3.

2 That the said Robert W. Archbald, being a United 3 States circuit judge and a judge of the United States Com-4 merce Court, on or about October 1, 1911, did secure from 5 the Lehigh Valley Coal Company, a corporation, which 6 coal company was then and there owned by the Lehigh 7 Valley Railroad Company, a common carrier engaged in 8 interstate commerce, and which railroad company was at 9 that time a party litigant in certain suits then pending in 10 the United States Commerce Court, to wit, The Baltimore 11. & Ohio Railroad Company et al. v. Interstate Commerce 12 Commission et al., No. 38, and The Lehigh Valley Rail-13 road Company v. Interstate Commerce Commission, et al., No. 49, all of which was well known to said Robert W. 14 Archbald, an agreement which permitted said Robert W. 15 Archbald and his associates to lease a culm dump, known as 16 Packer No. 3, near Shenandoah, in the State of Pennsyl-17 vania, which said culm dump contained a large amount of 18 coal, to wit, 472,670 tons, and which said culm dump the 19 said Robert W. Archbald and his associates agreed to 20 operate and to ship the product of the same exclusively 21 over the lines of the Lehigh Valley Railroad Company; 22 and that the said Robert W. Archbald unlawfully and cor-23

24

- ruptly did use his official position and influence as such judge 1
- to secure from the said coal company the said agreement. 2
- Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is 3
- guilty of misbehavior as such judge and of a misdemeanor 4
- 5 in such office.

ARTICLE 4.

That the said Robert W. Archbald, while holding the office of United States circuit judge and being a member of the United States Commerce Court, was and is guilty of gross and improper conduct, and was and is guilty of a 10 11 misdemeanor as said circuit judge and as a member of said 12 Commerce Court in manner and form as follows, to wit: 13 Prior to and on the 4th day of April, 1911, there was 14 pending in said United States Commerce Court the suit of 15 Louisville & Nashville Railroad Company v. The Interstate Commerce Commission. Said suit was argued and submitted 16 to said United States Commerce Court on the 4th day of April, 1911; that afterwards, to wit, on the 22d day of August, 1911, while said suit was still pending in said court, 19 20 and before the same had been decided, the said Robert W. 21 Archbald, as a member of said United States Commerce 22 Court, secretly, wrongfully, and unlawfully did write a

letter to the attorney for the said Louisville & Nashville

Railroad Company requesting said attorney to see one of

the witnesses who had testified in said suit on behalf of 2 said company and to get his explanation and interpretation 3 of certain testimony that the said witness had given in said suit, and communicate the same to the said Robert W. 4 5 Archbald, which request was complied with by said attorney; 6 that afterwards, to wit, on the 10th day of January, 1912, 7 while said suit was still pending, and before the same had 8 been decided by said court, the said Robert W. Archbald, 9 as judge of said court, secretly, wrongfully, and unlawfully 10 again did write to the said attorney that other members of 11 said United States Commerce Court had discovered evidence 12 on file in said suit detrimental to the said railroad company 13 and contrary to the statements and contentions made by the said attorney, and the said Robert W. Archbald, judge of 14 said United States Commerce Court as aforesaid, in said 15 letter requested the said attorney to make to him, the said 16 Robert W. Archbald, an explanation and an answer thereto; and he, the said Robert W. Archbald, as a member of said United States Commerce Court aforesaid, did then and 19 there request and solicit the said attorney for the said rail-20 road company to make and deliver to the said Robert W. 21 Archbald a further argument in support of the contentions 22 of the said attorney so representing the said railroad com-23

- 1 pany, which request was complied with by said attorney,
- 2 all of which on the part of said Robert W. Archbald was
- 3 done secretly, wrongfully, and unlawfully, and which was
- 4 without the knowledge or consent of the said Interstate
- 5 Commerce Commission or its attorneys.
- 6 Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is
- 7 guilty of misbehavior in office, and was and is guilty of a
- 8 misdemeanor.

9 ARTICLE 5.

- 10 That in the year 1904 one Frederick Warnke, of
- 11 Scranton, Pennsylvania, purchased a two-thirds interest in
- 12 a lease on certain coal lands owned by the Philadelphia &
- 13 Reading Coal & Iron Company, located near Lorberry
- 14 Junction in said State and put up a number of improve-
- 15 ments thereon and operated a culm dump located on said
- 16 property for several years thereafter; that operations were
- 17 carried on at a loss; that said Frederick Warnke thereupon
- 18 applied to the Philadelphia & Reading Coal & Iron Com-
- 19 pany for the mining maps of the said land covered by the
- 20 said lease, and was informed that the lease under which he
- 21 claimed had been forfeited two years before it was assigned
- 22 to him and his application for said maps was therefore de-
- 23 nied; that said Frederick Warnke then made a proposition
- 24 to George F. Baer, president of the Philadelphia & Reading

1 Railroad Company and president of the Philadelphia & 2 Reading Coal and Iron Company, to relinquish any claim 3 that he might have in this property under the said lease, pro-4 vided that the Philadelphia & Reading Coal & Iron Com-5 pany would give him an operating lease on what was known 6 as the Lincoln culm bank located near Lorberry; that said 7 George F. Baer referred said proposition to one W. J. 8 Richards, vice president and general manager of the Phila-9 delphia & Reading Coal & Iron Company, for consideration 10 and action; that the general policy of the said coal com-11 pany being adverse to the lease of any of its culm banks, 12 the said George F. Baer and the said W. J. Richards de-13 clined to make the lease, and the said Frederick Warnke 14 was so advised; that the said Frederick Warnke then made 15 several attempts, through his attorneys and friends, to have 16 the said George F. Baer and the said W. J. Richards recon-17 sider their decision in the premises, but without avail; that on or about November 1, 1911, the said Frederick Warnke 18 19 called upon Robert W. Archbald, who was then and now is a United States circuit judge, having been duly designated 20 as one of the judges of the United States Commerce Court, 21 22 and asked him, the said Robert W. Archbald, to intercede in his behalf with the said W. J. Richards; that on Novem-23

ber 24, 1911, the said Robert W. Archbald, judge as

aforesaid, pursuant to said request, did write a letter to the said W. J. Richards requesting an appointment with the said 2 W. J. Richards; that several days thereafter the said Robert W. Archbald called at the office of the said W. J. Richards 4 to intercede for the said Frederick Warnke; that the said W. J. Richards then and there informed the said Robert W. Archbald that the decision which he had given to the said Warnke must be considered as final, and the said Archbald so informed the said Warnke; that the entire capital stock 10 of the Philadelphia & Reading Coal & Iron Company is 11 owned by the Reading Company, which also owns the 12 entire capital stock of the Philadelphia & Reading Railroad 13 Company, which last-named company is a common carrier 14 engaged in interstate commerce. 15 That the said Robert W. Archbald, judge as aforesaid, 16 well knowing all the aforesaid facts, did wrongfully attempt to use his influence as such judge to aid and assist the said Frederick Warnke to secure an operating lease of the said 19 Lincoln culm dump owned by the Philadelphia & Reading 20 Coal & Iron Company, as aforesaid, which lease the officials of the said Philadelphia & Reading Coal & Iron Company 21 22 had theretofore refused to grant, which said fact was also

well known to the said Robert W. Archbald.

1 That the said Robert W. Archbald, judge as aforesaid, 2 shortly after the conclusion of his attempted negotiations 3 with the officers of the Philadelphia & Reading Railroad Company and of the Philadelphia & Reading Coal & Iron 4 5 Company, aforesaid, in behalf of the said Frederick Warnke, 6 and on or about the 31st day of March, 1912, willfully, un-7 lawfully, and corruptly did accept, as a gift, reward, or 8 present, from the said Frederick Warnke, tendered in con-9 sideration of favors shown him by said judge in his efforts 10 to secure a settlement and agreement with the said railroad 11 company and the said coal company, and for other favors 12 shown by said judge to the said Frederick Warnke, a certain 13 promissory note for five hundred dollars executed by the 14 firm of Warnke & Company, of which the said Frederick 15 Warnke was a member. 16 Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is guilty of misbehavior as a judge and high crimes and mis-17 demeanor in office.

ARTICLE 6.

19

That the said Robert W. Archbald, being a United States circuit judge and a judge of the United States Commerce Court, on or about the 1st day of December, 1911, did unlawfully, improperly, and corruptly attempt to use his influence as such judge with the Lehigh Valley Coal H. Rept. 946, 62-2—6

- 1 Company and the Lehigh Valley Railway Company to
- 2 induce the officers of said companies to purchase a certain
- 3 interest in a tract of coal land containing 800 acres, which
- 4 interest at said time belonged to certain persons known as
- 5 the Everhardt heirs.
- 6 Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is
- 7 guilty of misbehavior in office, and was and is guilty of a
- 8 misdemeanor.

9 ARTICLE 7.

- That during the months of October and November, 10 11 A. D. 1908, there was pending in the United States district 12 court, in the city of Scranton, State of Pennsylvania, over 13 which court Robert W. Archbald was then presiding as the 14 duly appointed judge thereof, a suit or action at law, wherein 15 the old Plymouth Coal Company was plaintiff and the Equitable Fire & Marine Insurance Company was defend-16 17 That the said coal company was principally owned 18 and entirely controlled by one W. W. Rissinger, which fact 19 was well known to said Robert W. Archbald; that on or 20 about November 1, 1908, and while said suit was pending, 21 the said Robert W. Archbald and the said W. W. Rissinger 22 wrongfully and corruptly agreed together to purchase stock
- 23 in a gold-mining scheme in Honduras, Central America, for
- 24 the purpose of speculation and profit; that in order to secure

the money with which to purchase said stock, the said 1 2 Rissinger executed his promissory note in the sum of two 3 thousand five hundred dollars, payable to Robert W. Arch-4 bald and Sophia J. Hutchison, which said note was indorsed 5 then and there by the said Robert W. Archbald, for the pur-6 pose of having same discounted for cash; that one of the 7 attorneys for said Rissinger in the trial of said suit was one 8 John T. Lenahan; that on the 23d day of November, 1908, 9 said suit came on for trial before said Robert W. Arch-10 bald, judge presiding, and a jury, and after the plaintiff's 11 evidence was presented, the defendant insurance company 12 demurred to the sufficiency of said evidence and moved for 13 a nonsuit, and after extended argument by attorneys for both 14 plaintiff and defendant, the said Robert W. Archbald ruled against the defendant and in favor of the plaintiff, and there-15 upon the defendant proceeded to introduce evidence before 16 the conclusion of which the jury was dismissed and a consent 17 judgment rendered in favor of the plaintiff for two thousand 18 five hundred dollars, to be discharged upon the payment of 19 two thousand one hundred and twenty-nine dollars and 20 sixty-three cents if paid within 15 days from November 23, 21 1908, and on the same day judgments were entered in a 22 number of other like suits against different insurance com-23 panies, which resulted in the recovery of about \$28,000.00 24 by the Old Plymouth Coal Company; that before the

- 1 expiration of said 15 days the said Rissinger, with
- 2 the knowledge and consent of said Robert W. Arch-
- 3 bald, presented said note to the said John T. Lena-
- 4 han for discount, which was refused and which was later
- 5 discounted by a bank and has never been paid.
- 6 All of which acts on the part of the said Robert W.
- 7 Archbald were improper, unbecoming, and constituted mis-
- 8 behavior in his said office as judge, and render him guilty
- 9 of a misdemeanor.

ARTICLE 8.

- That during the summer and fall of the year 1909
- 12 there was pending in the United States District Court for the
- 13 Middle District of Pennsylvania, in the city of Scranton, over
- 14 which court the said Robert W. Archbald was then and
- 15 there presiding as the duly appointed judge thereof, a civil
- 16 action wherein the Marian Coal Company was defendant,
- 17 which action involved a large sum of money, and which
- 18 defendant coal company was principally owned and con-
- 19 trolled by one Christopher G. Boland and one William P.
- 20 Boland, all of which was well known to said Robert W.
- 21 Archbald; and while said suit was so pending the said
- 22 Robert W. Archbald drew a note for five hundred dollars,
- 23 payable to himself, and which note was signed by one John
- 24 Henry Jones and indorsed by the said Robert W. Archbald,
- 25 and then and there during the pendency of said suit as afore-

said the said Robert W. Archbald wrongfully agreed and consented that the said note should be presented to the said Christopher G. Boland and the said William P. Boland, or one of them, for the purpose of having the said note dis-counted, corruptly intending that his name on said note would coerce and induce the said Christopher G. Boland and the said William P. Boland, or one of them, to discount the same because of the said Robert W. Archbald's position as judge, and because the said Bolands were at that time liti-gants in his said court.

Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is guilty of gross misconduct in his office as judge, and was and is guilty of a misdemeanor in his said office as judge.

ARTICLE 9.

That the said Robert W. Archbald, of the city of Scranton and State of Pennsylvania, on or about November 1, 1909, being then and there a United States district judge in and for the middle district of Pennsylvania, in the city of Scranton and State aforesaid, did draw a note in his own proper handwriting, payable to himself, in the sum of five hundred dollars, which said note was signed by one John Henry Jones, which said note the said Robert W. Archbald indorsed for the purpose of securing the sum of five hundred dollars, and the said Robert W. Archbald, well knowing that his indorsement would not secure money in the usual com-

15

and owing.

mercial channels, then and there wrongfully did permit the 2 said John Henry Jones to present said note for discount, at 3 his law office, to one C. H. Von Storch, attorney at law and practitioner in said district court, which said Von Storch, a 4. 5 short time prior thereto, was a party defendant in a suit in 6 the said district court presided over by said Robert W. 7 Archbald, which said suit was decided in favor of the said 8 Von Storch upon a ruling by the said Robert W. Archbald; 9 and when the said note was presented to the said Von Storch 10 for discount, as aforesaid, the said Robert W. Archbald 11 wrongfully and improperly used his influence as such judge 12 to induce the said Von Storch to discount same; that the 13 said note was then and there discounted by the said Von

Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is guilty of gross misconduct in his said office, and was and is guilty of a misdemeanor in his said office as judge.

Storch, and the same has never been paid, but is still due

19 ARTICLE 10.

That the said Robert W. Archbald, while holding the office of United States district judge, in and for the middle district of the State of Pennsylvania, on or about the 1st day of May, 1910, wrongfully and unlawfully did accept and receive a large sum of money, the exact amount of which is unknown to the House of Representatives, from

1 one Henry W. Cannon; that said money so given by the 2 said Henry W. Cannon and so unlawfully and wrongfully 3 received and accepted by the said Robert W. Archbald, judge as aforesaid, was for the purpose of defraying the 4 5 expenses of a pleasure trip of the said Robert W. Archbald to Europe; that the said Henry W. Cannon, at the time of 6 7 the giving of said money and the receipt thereof by the said 8 Robert W. Archbald, was a stockholder and officer in various 9 and divers interstate railway corporations, to wit: A director 10 in the Great Northern Railway, a director in the Lake Erie 11 & Western Railroad Company, and a director in the Fort 12 Wayne, Cincinnati & Louisville Railroad Company; that 13 the said Henry W. Cannon was president and chairman of the board of directors of the Pacific Coast Company, a cor-14 poration which owned the entire capital stock of the Colum-15 16 bia & Puget Sound Railroad Company, the Pacific Coast Railway Company, the Pacific Coast Steamship Company, 17 and various other corporations engaged in the mining of 18 coal and in the development of agricultural and timber land 19 in various parts of the United States; that the acceptance 20 by the said Robert W. Archbald, while holding said office 21 of United States district judge, of said favors from an officer 22 and official of the said corporations, any of which in the 23

due course of business was liable to be interested in litigation

21

22

- 1 pending in the said court over which he presided as such
- 2 judge, was improper and had a tendency to and did bring
- 3 his said office of district judge into disrepute.
- 4 Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is
- 5 guilty of misbehavior in office, and was and is guilty of a
- 6 misdemeanor.

7 ARTICLE 11.

8 That the said Robert W. Archbald, while holding the 9 office of United States district judge in and for the middle district of the State of Pennsylvania, did, on or about the 10 11 1st day of May, 1910, wrongfully and unlawfully accept and 12 receive a sum of money in excess of five hundred dollars, 13 which sum of money was contributed and given to the said 14 Robert W. Archbald by various attorneys who were prac-15 titioners in the said court presided over by the said Robert 16 W. Archbald; that said money was raised by subscription 17 and solicitation from said attorneys by two of the officers of said court, to wit, Edward R. W. Searle, clerk of said 18 19 court, and J. B. Woodward, jury commissioner of said court,

both the said Edward R. W. Searle and the said J. B.

Woodward having been appointed to the said positions by

the said Robert W. Archbald, judge aforesaid.

1 Wherefore said Robert W. Archbald was and is guilty

2 of misbehavior in office, and was and is guilty of a

3 misdemeanor.

H. Rept. 946, 62-2-7

4 ARTICLE 12.

5 That on the 9th day of April, 1901, and for a long 6 time prior thereto, one J. B. Woodward was a general at-7 torney for the Lehigh Valley Railroad Company, a corporation and common carrier doing a general railroad business: 8 9 that on said day the said Robert W. Archbald, being then and there a United States district judge in and for the 10 11 middle district of Pennsylvania, and while acting as such 12 judge, did appoint the said J. B. Woodward as a jury com-13 missioner in and for said judicial district, and the said J. B. Woodward, by virtue of said appointment and with the con-14 tinued consent and approval of the said Robert W. Arch-15 bald, held such office and performed all the duties pertaining 16 thereto during all the time that the said Robert W. Arch-17 bald held said office of United States district judge, and that 18 during all of said time the said J. B. Woodward continued 19 to act as a general attorney for the said Lehigh Valley Rail-20 road Company; all of which was at all times well known to 21 the said Robert W. Archbald. 22

1 Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is

2 guilty of misbehavior in office, and was and is guilty of a

3 misdemeanor.

4 ARTICLE 13.

5 That Robert W. Archbald, on the 29th day of March,

6 1901, was duly appointed United States district judge for

the middle district of Pennsylvania and held such office

8 until the 31st day of January, 1911, on which last-named

9 date he was duly appointed a United States circuit judge

10 and designated as a judge of the United States Commerce

11 Court.

7

15

16

17

That during the time in which the said Robert W.

13 Archbald has acted as such United States district judge and

14 judge of the United States Commerce Court he, the said

Robert W. Archbald, at divers times and places, has sought

wrongfully to obtain credit from and through certain per-

sons who were interested in the result of suits then pending

18 and suits that had been pending in the court over which

19 he presided as judge of the district court, and in suits pend-

20 ing in the United States Commerce Court, of which the said

21 Robert W. Archbald is a member.

That the said Robert W. Archbald, being United States

23 circuit judge and being then and there a judge of the United

24 States Commerce Court, at Scranton, in the State of Pennsyl-

1 vania, on the 31st day of March, 1911, and at divers other 2 times and places, did undertake to carry on a general busi-3 ness for speculation and profit in the purchase and sale of culm dumps, coal lands, and other coal properties, and for a 4 valuable consideration to compromise litigation pending 5 before the Interstate Commerce Commission, and in the 6 7 furtherance of his efforts to compromise such litigation and of his speculations in coal properties, willfully, unlawfully, 8 and corruptly did use his influence as a judge of the said 9 United States Commerce Court to induce the officers of the 10 11 Erie Railroad Company, the Delaware, Lackawanna & Western Railroad Company, the Lackawanna & Wyoming 12 13 Valley Railroad Company, and other railroad companies engaged in interstate commerce, respectively, to enter into 14 various and divers contracts and agreements in which he 15 was then and there financially interested with divers persons, 16 to wit, Edward J. Williams, John Henry Jones, Thomas H. 17 Jones, George M. Watson, and others, without disclosing 18 his said interest therein on the face of the contract, but 19 which interest was well known to the officers and agents of 20 said railroad companies. 21 That the said Robert W. Archbald did not invest any 22

money or other thing of value in consideration of any interest

acquired or sought to be acquired by him in securing or

23

- 1 in attempting to secure such contracts or agreements or
- 2 properties as aforesaid, but used his influence as such judge
- 3 with the contracting parties thereto, and received an interest
- 4 in said contracts, agreements, and properties in consideration
- 5 of such influence in aiding and assisting in securing same.
- 6 That the said several railroad companies were and are
- 7 engaged in interstate commerce, and at the time of the exc-
 - 8 cution of the several contracts and agreements aforesaid and
 - 9 of entering into negotiations looking to such agreements had
- 10 divers suits pending in the United States Commerce Court,
- 11 and that the conduct and efforts of the said Robert W.
- 12 Archbald in endeavoring to secure and in securing such con-
- 13 tracts and agreements from said railroad companies was
- 14 continuous and persistent from the said 31st day of March,
- 15 1911, to about the 15th day of April, 1912.
- Wherefore the said Robert W. Archbald was and is
- 17 guilty of misbehavior as such judge and of misdemeanors
- 18 in office.